# Model Physical Inspection (Format) of Works in

Part	S. No	Item	To be prepared by	Page
I.	ſ.	Work Brief	DC	
	2.	Master Area Development Plan		4
	3.	Convergence Scenario		
	4.	Finances		
	5.	Administrative Approval and Technical Sanction		_
	6.	Physical progress- Timelines		
	7.	Physical progress- Monitoring		
	8.	Physical progress- Documentation		
	9.	Critical comments by Deputy Commissioner/		
		HOD/ Autonomous Council		_
II.		Transparency and Social Audit if any undertaken	THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH.	
	-	by Execution Agency/ District Administration/		
		HOD/ Autonomous Council		_
III.		Critical comments by Observer	Observer	-
IV.		Rating of each work under the Scheme	Observer	
V.		Recommendations of Observer	Observer	_

#### Index

### Part-I: Work wise details and Progress

(To be filled in by the Deputy Commissioner/ HOD/ Authority and share to the M&E Observer- either before or after)

1.1 Brief of each of the Work

Name of District	Bongaigaon	
Name of LAC	35-Abhayapuri South	
Name of Village- Revenue/ Forest	Ward No-3 ABhayapuri	
Officer conduction the Physical Inspection	Pradip Das RO, E&M Division, T&D	
Officer conduction the Physical Inspection	Pradip Das, RO, E&M Division, T&D Department	

#### Work wise Scheme

Name of Scheme/Programme/Project	Pradhan Mantri Matsya Sampada Yojana (PMMSY), 2020-21
Objective of the Scheme/ Programme	Creation of Marketing infrastructure, marketing of Ornamental fish, Facilities for customer to test various type of fish, fish pickle etc.
Scheme/Programme Target Group	PMMSY Scheme, Diya SHG, Abhayapuri (Target Group)

## Each work details ( use separate page for each work)

2.7

Name of the Work / Project	Construction of Fish Kiosk under PMMSY, 2020-21
Year	2020-21
Work Location Details	Ward No.3 Abhayapuri, P.O: Abhayapuri, Near Abhayapuri College Block : Tapattary
Sub Division / Forest/ Wildlife Division	North Salmara
Development Block	Tapattary
Gaon Panchayat	Abhayapuri Municipality
Name of MP Constituency	Barpeta MP Constituency
Objectives	Creation of Marketing infrastructure, marketing of Ornamental Fish, Facilities for customer to test various type of fish, fish Pickle etc.
Objective of the works	Creation of Marketing infrastructure, marketing of Ornamental Fish, Facilities for customer to test various type of fish, fish Pickle etc.
Expected output of the work	Aquarium, Ornamental Fish, Table size Fish, Furniture, Refrigerator Fish items for customer like Fish Pickle, Dry Fish, Frozen Fish, Packet Fish, Cooked Fish/Fried Fish, Aquarium for sale to
	Customer.

Expected outcome of the work	Employment generation, Income generation for SHG.
Impact to be visualized in 3 years (at the time of proposal)	Expected better livelihood of SHG
Execution Agency Name of Implementing Agency Name of the Officer with Designation Contact Phone/ Mobile Number Contact email id	Dept. of Fisheries, Assam, Guwahat O/o. the DFDO, Bongaigaon Debabrat Das, DFDO 9854829732 bongaigaondfdo@gmail.com
Monitoring Agency/ Officer Name of Monitoring Agency/ Officer from Office of DC/ HOD/ Authority/ Implementation Agency- IA Contact Phone/ Mobile Number	FDO, JE, FD Baban Kalita, FDO Hemanta Deka, JE Girish Talukdar, FD Ph. No. 9707841187
Contact email id	Ph. No. 9101100522   Ph. No. 9864348339   bongaigaondfdo@gmail.com

Destristen Dest officer Destristen Dest officer

# (P

1.2. Master Area Development Plan as approved by District/ HOD/ Autonomous Council if available: Enclose.

1.3. Convergence Scenario if any in the project area: List of works taken up under different schemes (CS, CSS, NEC, EAP, SOPD) in the villages for the last 3 Years.

Year	Scheme (CS, CSS, NEC, EAP, SOPD)	Work/ benefit Name	Allocation	Expenditure	Physical Progress	Remarks
2020- 21	CS	Diya SHG	6.00 lakh (Govt. Share) 2	10.00 Lakh (4.00 lakh Ben. Share out of 10 lakh)	100%	60% Govt. Share 40% Ben. Share

#### 1.4. Finances/ Fund

Year	Finally Approved Estimate	Sanctioned amount with date	Expenditure	Amount for which utilization certificate was submitted	Amount for which utilization certificate is pending
2020-21	Yes	6.00 lakh (Govt. Share) Date: 01.02.2021, 03.06.2021, 04.10.2021 and 14.03.2022	10.00 Lakh (4.00 lakh Ben. Share out of 10 lakh)	6.00 lakh	Nil

Year	Amount received by the District / HOD/ Autonomous Council	Date of Sanction	Date of fund Receipt	Amount released for the works by the Authority	Date of release	Number of days funds were parked without undertaki ng work	Reaso ns for the delays and parkin g
2020- 21	6.00 lakh	01.02.2021, 03.06.2021, 04.10.2021 and 14.03.2022	01.02.2021, 03.06.2021, 04.10.2021 and 14.03.2022	6.00 lakh	Rs. 85219/- Dt. 24.02.2022 Rs. 194103/- dt. 06.07.2022 Rs. 320678/- Dt. 09.11.2022	Nil	Does not arise

Or 22 12/22

1.8. Critical observations / Comments by the Deputy Commissioner/ HOD/ Autonomous Council

1.	Time delay in the work selection / service/ Benefit Delivery	Due to the replacement of the beneficiary	
14	(with reference to the scheme year & work allotment time.)	3	
2.	Time delay in Fund/Benefit Release:	Fund release as per work progress	
3.	Cost over runs/High cost of units	No	
4.	Diversion of Funds/Benefits/Service Delivery.	No	
5.	Less work against the amount spent	No	
6.	Noncompliance to scheme/Programme guidelines if any in implementation	Does not arise	
7.	Duplication of works if any.	No	
8.	Not following the approved estimate/design/Deviation from the approved Estimate/design/Quality standards.	No	
9.	Physical Progress in % as on date of inspection	100%	
10.	Financial Progress in % as on date of inspection	100%	
11.	Number of Months – work delayed with reference to approved plan. No delay		
12.	Transparency & Social Audit	Not done	
13.	Sign Board – Yes/No	Yes	
14.	Sign Board - Information in local language - Yes/No	Yes	
15.	Social Audit/ Community meeting before the start of the work- Yes/No	Yes	
16.	Social Audit/ Community meeting after the start of the work- Yes/No	Yes	
Ι7.	Involvement of local PRI/ Autonomous Council/ Local body- Yes/No	No	
18.	Quality of work - Excellent/ Good/ Satisfactory/ Poor	Good	
19.	Achievement of Schemes – Achieved- Fully/ Partly / Not achieved.	Fully	
20.	Incomplete/ No start of work/ Slow progress of works.	Completed	
21.	Delay in Utilization Certificate Submission.	No	
22.	CAG Reports (If any on the scheme/ Programme in the State)	No	
23.	Whether the Imp./Exc. Agency/GP included the asset creation in the Asset Register.	No	
24.	Persons responsible for poor/excellent performance if any/		
25.	Sustainability of the work- Who will be responsible for its maintenance?	Diya SHG	
26.			

JSD0 Bordonson

#### Part-II: Work wise details and Progress

(Social Audit: community participation in understanding, measuring, tracking, analyzing and evaluation of performance of work and fixing accountability for the omissions and commissions while ensuring transparency.)

	Processes/tasks	Comments by the DC / HOD/ Autonomous Council
1.	Signboard in Local Language before the start of works with full details-	
2.	Whether date, venue and time was announced adequate in advance / minimum one week in advance to all stakeholders to ensure that there is a good gathering of all sections of people including the invisible and marginalized sections of society including SC, ST, Minorities, Women, Disabled, OBC and others.	
3.	Whether Implementing Agency, Monitoring Agency, Local bodies were present in the Social Audit meeting? Whether local Hon'ble MLAs/MPs/ HOD/ Autonomous Council are informed about the Social Audit and invitation if convenient?	
4.	Whether objective of Social Audit, Scheme objective, Works undertaken, Approved Technical Estimate and funds spent were/explained at the beginning of the Social Audit meeting.	
5.	Whether all official records are made available to the public for examination during the social audit much before the social audit with adequate copies on 3-4 tables?	NA
6.	Whether all sections of people – All genders, religions, tribes, castes, classes; Panchayat President and / Local MLA/ HOD/ Autonomous Council were present. Check attendance register?	
7.	Attendance/ Proceedings of local people / Panchayat involvement maintenance. Maintained – Yes/No. Total number of adults present against total adults of the village.	
8.	Whether all steps involved in Social Audit are followed. Yes/No	
9.	Whether the Implementation/ Execution Agency maintain and displayed/ showed/ gave the photographs of work – before start, during and at the end (along with locals + PRIs) – Yes/No	T (
10.	Stakeholders/Local Public Satisfaction on the planning and progress of works. Excellent/ Good / Satisfactory/ Not Satisfactory/Bad	
11.	Implementation/ Executing Agency Personal + HOD/ Autonomous Council / PRI accompanied (if any in physical monitoring – please specify)	

De Dondaron

## Part-III. Critical comments of Observer on the observations of the Implementation/Executing Agency/ DC

27

1.	Time delay in the work selection /service/Benefit Delivery (with reference to the scheme year & work allotment time.)	Yes
2.	Time delay in Fund/Benefit Release:	No
2. 3.	Cost over runs/High cost of units	No
3. 4.	Diversion of Funds/Benefits/Service Delivery.	No
	Less Work against the amount spent.	No
5. 6.	Non-compliance to scheme/Programme gaidelines if any in implementation.	No
7	Duplication of works if any.	No
7. 8.	Not following the approved estimate/design/Deviation from the approved Estimate/design/Quality standards.	No
0	Incomplete/ No start of work/Slow programme of works.	Completed
9.	Delay in Utilization Certificate Submission.	No
10. 11.	CAG Reports (If any on the scheme/Programme in the	No
12.	State) Whether the Imp./Exc. Agency/GP included the asset creation in the Asset Register maintained at District level both at Line Department level and at DDC/DC Level.	No
13.	Recommendations if any for improvement in work and for sustainability	
14.	Any comment/submission by the Executing Agency	Diya SHG will run the KIOSK

## Part-IV. Rating of each of the work by M&E Observer (Use separate sheet for each work/ project)

SI. No	Parameter				Weight age of
01. 1 10	Name		Performances	Weight age	the Work monitored
	DI 1 Due ann		>90%	20	20
1	Physical Progress		70-89%	15	
	(20)		50-69%	10	
	27		-500/	0	
			>90%	20	20
2	Financial Progress (20)			15	
			70-89%	10	
			50-69%	0	
		1	<50%	10	
3		npletion	In Target Time / year	5	
	(10)		6 months delay	0	0
			1 yr delay	5	5
4	Transparency	A	Signboard with comprehensive information		
	(20)				
		F	in local language (Additionally English is		
	В				
			optional)	0 .	
			No signboard Social Audit/ Local Mass	5	
		В	Commonly meeting before	5	
		1	the start of work for planning		18
			and awareness		
			No community planning and	0	0
			awareness meeting	V	
		0	Monthly Social Audit/	5	
		C	meeting with the community	5	
		_	or at least once/ during/ upon		
			whole work completion		
			No meeting	0	0
		D	PRI / Local body/ Council	5	
		D PRI / Local body/ Council Involvement			
1			No PRI involvement	0	0
-	O l'i fam	a vil co	Excellent	15	
5	Quality of wo	JIKS	Good	10	10
	(15)		Satisfactory	5	
			Poor	0	
			Fully achieved	15	15
6	Achievemen	t oi	Partly achieved	10	A CONTRACTOR
	Schemes	100	Not achieved	0	
	(15) Objectives Total		INOL achieved		70

Grade and weight age

Grade	Weight age
A (Excellent)	>90%
B (Good)	80-89%
C (Satisfactory)	70-79% C
D (Poor)	<70%

## Part-III. Critical comments of Observer on the observations of the Implementation/Executing Agency/ DC

		3.3		
ŀ.	Time delay in the work selection /service/Benefit Delivery (with reference to the scheme year & work allotment time.)	Yes		
2.	Time delay in Fund/Benefit Release:	No		
3.	Cost over runs/High cost of units	No		
4.	Diversion of Funds/Benefits/Service Delivery.	No		
5	Less Work against the amount spent.	No		
6.	Non-compliance to scheme/Programme guidelines if any in No implementation.			
7.	Duplication of works if any.	No		
8.	Not following the approved estimate/design/Deviation from No the approved Estimate/design/Quality standards.			
9.	Incomplete/ No start of work/Slow programme of works. Completed			
10.	Delay in Utilization Certificate Submission.	No		
11.	CAG Reports (If any on the scheme/Programme in the No State)			
12.	Whether the Imp./Exc. Agency/GP included the asset creation in the Asset Register maintained at District level both at Line Department level and at DDC/DC Level. No			
13.	Recommendations if any for improvement in work and for sustainability	r		
14.	Any comment/submission by the Executing Agency	Diya SHG will run the KIOSK		

. •

Research Officer Transformation & Development Dep Dispur, Gewahati-06

Part-IV R	ating of each of the work by M&F. Observer
garrer (The	separate sheet for each work/ project)

					3
	and the second second second	Sec. Street	and the second		Weight age of
No	Parameter Name		Performances	Weight age	the Work monitored
	and In		>90%	20	20
	Physical Progress (20)		70-89%	15	
			50-69%	10	
			<50%	0	A Section
-			>90%	20	20
1	Financial Progress (20) Timely Completion		70-89%	15	
			50-69%	10	
			<50%	0	
			In Target Time / year	10	
3		quetton	6 months delay	5	
	(10)		1 yr delay	0	()
4	Transparency (20)	A	Signboard with comprehensive information in local language (Additionally English is optional)	5	5
			No signboard	0	e
		В	Social Audit/ Local Mass Commonly meeting before the start of work for planning and awareness	5	
			No community planning and awareness meeting	0	0
		C	Monthly Social Audit/ meeting with the community or at least once/ during/ upon whole work completion	5	
	10.033	la serie	No meeting	0	0
		D	PR1/Local body/Council Involvement	5	
			No PRI involvement	0	0
-		Facelleni	15		
5	Quality of works (15)		Good	10	10
			Satisfactory	5	
			Poor	0	
			Fully achieved	15	15
6	Achievement of Schemes		Partly achieved	10	
			Not achieved	0	
	(15) Objectives		NOT BUILDING		70
1	Total	and strength	adverse and the second s	A	D'

Research Officer Transformation & Development Department Dispur, <del>Os</del>wahati-06

# Grade and weight age

the second second second second	Weight age
Grade	>90%
A (Excellent) B (Good)	80-89%
	70-79% C
C (Satisfactory)	<70%
D (Poor)	and the second

0 6 1

Research Officer Transformation & Development Department Dispur, Gewahati-06

Part.V. Recommendation of the M&E Observer:

Self along with DFDO, Bongaigaon and FDO, Bongaigaon and Research Assistant, DC's office, Bongaigaon visited the site on 28/12/2022. It has been observed that DIYA SHG has started a Fish Kiosk under Pradhan Mantri Matsya Sampada Yojana (PMMSY) at Abhayapuri town. The KIOSK mainly deals in selling of Aquarium, Ornamental fish, Ready to eat fish dishes, fish pickles, dry fish etc. As reported by the DIYA SHG, there are able to carn income by selling items. They assume that with time they will be able to make its brand more popular and will be able to earn more income from the KIOSK and expect better livelihood of SHG members.

Research Officer Transformation & Development Department Dispur, Gewahati-06



